Grievance Language Alignment Post-Professional-Track Faculty Policies Addition

Justification:

Part 1: This suite of revisions follows from the addition of the "Professional-Track Faculty and Related Policies" to the Faculty Manual in 2024 and seeks to better align the grievance language in the Faculty Manual with that recent addition.

Specifically, the 2024 addition's requirement that units must develop criteria and procedures for Professional-Track Faculty reappointment and promotion means that two of the grounds for grievance established in the Faculty Manual for Tenure-Track faculty inadequate consideration of unit criteria and impermissible criteria—are now appropriate to extend to Professional-Track Faculty (note that Professional-Track Faculty currently share two grounds with Tenure-Track faculty—denial of procedural due process and denial of academic freedom.

This core substantive change, though, requires an additional set of structural revisions and clarifications to the Academic Grievance Procedures section of the Faculty Manual. The proposed changes aim to allow this section to centralize and accommodate grievance grounds and procedures for: the non-reappointment of Professional-Track Faculty, the denial of promotion to Professional-Track Faculty, the non-reappointment of Tenure-Track faculty during their probationary period, and the denial of promotion or tenure to Tenure-Track faculty.

Part 2: In the process of reviewing the Faculty Manual to ensure that the changes discussed above were appropriate, the Faculty Advisory Committee identified several other related issues as well as opportunities for clarification. As a result, we are also proposing a set of alignments aimed at: ensuring consistency with the new "Professional-Track Faculty and Related Policies" section, clarifying relevant procedures, and providing helpful referrals to the revised "Academic Grievance Procedures" section in relevant sections and subsections throughout the Faculty Manual. One substantive change introduced here is the extension of inadequate consideration of unit criteria and impermissible criteria as grounds for grievance to Tenure-Track faculty seeking reappointment during their probationary period, aligning with the changes for Professional-Track faculty non-reappointment decisions.

PART 1: REVISIONS TO SECTION ON ACADEMIC GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES

Six Key Changes

- 1. Redivision of types of academic grievance into (1) grievances involving the nonreappointment of or denial of promotion or tenure to professional-track and tenure-track faculty and (2) grievances involving termination of a faculty member [for cause].
- 2. Enumeration of both the four kinds of decisions and the four shared grounds of grievance covered under (1) grievances involving the non-reappointment of or denial of promotion or tenure to professional-track and tenure-track faculty.
- 3. As a result of the above, professional-track faculty seeking reappointment or promotion and tenure-track faculty still in their probationary period seeking reappointment can now grieve based on the grounds inadequate consideration of unit criteria and the use of impermissible criteria.
- 4. Replacement of "tenure and promotion" throughout with "non-reappointment or the denial of promotion or tenure."
- 5. Revisions to the list of materials the dean is required to provide the faculty member with summaries of so as to accommodate differences in the materials and processes involved in each of the four kinds of decisions.
- 6. Clarification that "days" in grievance procedures refers to business days.

Current Text (pages 50-53)	Proposed Text
ACADEMIC GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES	ACADEMIC GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES
There are specific procedures for three types of faculty grievances.	There are two main types of academic grievance:
 For grievances involving non- reappointment, see "Grounds for Grievance of Non- Reappointment" (below). For those involving denial of tenure or denial of promotion, see "Grievance Procedure for Denial of Tenure or Promotion" (below). For grievances involving termination of a faculty member, see "Termination of Faculty for Cause" (below). 	 Grievances involving the non- reappointment of or denial of promotion or tenure to professional-track and tenure- track faculty. See "1. Non- reappointment and Denial of Promotion or Tenure to Professional-Track and Tenure- Track Faculty" below. Grievances involving termination of a faculty member. See "Termination of Faculty for Cause" below.
Other grievances include but are not	
limited to decision affecting	Other grievances include but are not
compensation, efforts to compromise	limited to decision affecting
academic freedom, and material	compensation, efforts to compromise

breaches of special contractual obligations of the university. The faculty member must first attempt to resolve any such grievance at the department level. If a solution cannot be obtained at this level, the redress should be pursued through the offices of dean and provost. Only after following this procedure may faculty members refer the matter to the Faculty Appellate Panel.

The panel will examine all evidence submitted by the complainant and respondent(s) and may request to hear testimony from any parties involved. The panel will report its findings, including recommendations for redress, to the complainant and to the president. The president will be the final university authority to whom a grievance may be submitted.

GROUNDS FOR GRIEVANCE OF NON-REAPPOINTMENT

Grievances concerning nonreappointment are limited to the grounds of denial of academic freedom or denial of procedural due process. Due process applies particularly to required annual faculty evaluation and the observance of the timely notice requirements.

If these grounds are believed to exist, the faculty member shall have access to the grievance procedures outlined in "Grievance Procedure for Denial of Tenure or Promotion" (below). academic freedom, and material breaches of special contractual obligations of the university. The faculty member must first attempt to resolve any such grievance at the department level. If a solution cannot be obtained at this level, the redress should be pursued through the offices of dean and provost. Only after following this procedure may faculty members refer the matter to the Faculty Appellate Panel.

The panel will examine all evidence submitted by the complainant and respondent(s) and may request to hear testimony from any parties involved. The panel will report its findings, including recommendations for redress, to the complainant and to the president. The president will be the final university authority to whom a grievance may be submitted.

1. NON-REAPPOINTMENT AND DENIAL OF PROMOTION OR TENURE TO PROFESSIONAL-TRACK AND TENURE-TRACK FACULTY

The grounds and procedure for grievance established in this section pertain equally to:

- The non-reappointment of professional-track faculty
- The denial of promotion to professional-track faculty
- The non-reappointment of tenuretrack faculty during their probationary period
- The denial of promotion or tenure to tenure-track faculty

GROUNDS FOR GRIEVANCE

GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE FOR DENIAL OF TENURE OR PROMOTION

Upon receiving notice of denial of tenure or promotion, the faculty member may seek relief by taking the steps outlined below.

The grievance procedure may turn out to be lengthy, and the faculty member who initiates a grievance procedure is advised to maintain a file of dated correspondence sent or received, as well as dates and notes of conferences held concerning the case. Failure of any administrative official or reviewing authority to comply with the deadlines for action specified herein shall not Grounds for grievance concerning the non-reappointment of and the denial of promotion to professional-track faculty and the non-reappointment of and the denial of promotion or tenure to tenuretrack faculty are limited to the following:

- Inadequate consideration of unit criteria,
- Use of impermissible criteria,
- Denial of procedural due process, or
- Denial of academic freedom.

If these grounds are believed to exist, the faculty member shall have access to the grievance procedure outlined in "Grievance Procedure" (below).

GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE

Upon receiving notice of nonreappointment or the denial of promotion or tenure, the faculty member may seek relief by taking the relevant steps outlined below.

All days referred to in this procedure are business days; however, when the last day of such a period falls on a weekend or university holiday, the effective date shall be the next regular business day. The first day in the period shall be the day after the actual day of notification.

The grievance procedure may turn out to be lengthy, and the faculty member who initiates a grievance procedure is advised to maintain a file of dated correspondence sent or received, as well as dates and notes of conferences held concerning the case. Failure of any administrative official or reviewing authority to comply with the deadlines for action specified herein shall not operate to reverse or modify a tenure or promotion decision, but shall permit the faculty member to proceed directly to petition the Faculty Appellate Panel for consideration.

The first recourse of the faculty member shall be to request an immediate oral explanation from the member's administrative officer for the denial of tenure or promotion.

If the faculty member does not receive an oral explanation or believes that it is unsatisfactory, the faculty member may request from the dean of the college a written summary of the evaluations and reasons advanced pertaining to the faculty member's case upon which judgments were made and actions taken. The written request must be submitted to the dean within five days of notification of denial of tenure or promotion. The dean will provide a summary within ten days of the request. The dean, after consultation with the provost, will respond with a detailed summary of the evaluations included in vote justifications, in letters from external referees, and in administrative reviews, and with the vote of the UCTP. Such a summary will be made so as to protect the identity of the referees and faculty members.

Within five days of receiving the dean's summary of the case, if the faculty

operate to reverse or modify a nonreappointment or the denial of promotion or tenure but shall permit the faculty member to proceed directly to petition the Faculty Appellate Panel for consideration.

The first recourse of the faculty member shall be to request an immediate oral explanation from the member's administrative officer for the nonreappointment or the denial of promotion or tenure.

If the faculty member does not receive an oral explanation or believes that it is unsatisfactory, the faculty member may request from the dean of the college a written summary of the evaluations and reasons advanced pertaining to the faculty member's case upon which judgments were made and actions taken. The written request must be submitted to the dean within five days of notification of non-reappointment or the denial of promotion or tenure. The dean will provide a summary within ten days of the request. The dean, after consultation with the provost, will respond with a detailed summary of the evaluations included in all required vote justifications and summaries, in all relevant unit-level recommendations, in any letters from external referees, and in administrative reviews. In cases where tenure-track faculty have been denied promotion or tenure, the dean will also provide the vote of the UCTP. Such a summary will be made so as to protect the identity of the referees and faculty members.

Within five days of receiving the dean's summary of the case, if the faculty

member believes there are grounds for reconsideration of the case, the member may state in writing the grounds for this belief and submit them to the president. The president may order a review, at any faculty or administrative level, on the grounds for reconsideration set forth by the faculty member if the president believes the findings of the review could substantially alter the basis upon which the initial decision of denial of tenure or promotion was reached. The president will inform the faculty member in writing of the president's decision upon reconsideration and the reasons for it.

At that time, in the event of a negative decision, the president shall also inform the faculty member about the right of review by the Faculty Appellate Panel, including the name of the chair of the committee and the applicable review procedures. The presidential review, including any unit reviews, must be completed within a reasonable time not to exceed 85 days.

After a negative decision upon reconsideration, a faculty member who believes that there is cause for grievance may petition the Faculty Appellate Panel. Such a petition must be made in writing to the chair of the Faculty Appellate Panel no later than five days from receipt of the president's letter.

a. The petition must be based on one or more of the following allegations: inadequate consideration of unit criteria, use of impermissible criteria, denial of procedural due process, or member believes there are grounds for reconsideration of the case, the member may state in writing the grounds for this belief and submit them to the president. The president may order a review, at any faculty or administrative level, on the grounds for reconsideration set forth by the faculty member if the president believes the findings of the review could substantially alter the basis upon which the initial decision of non-reappointment or the denial of promotion or tenure was reached. The president will inform the faculty member in writing of the president's decision upon reconsideration and the reasons for it.

At that time, in the event of a negative decision, the president shall also inform the faculty member about the right of review by the Faculty Appellate Panel, including the name of the chair of the committee and the applicable review procedures. The presidential review, including any unit reviews, must be completed within a reasonable time not to exceed 85 days.

After a negative decision upon reconsideration, a faculty member who believes that there is cause for grievance may petition the Faculty Appellate Panel. Such a petition must be made in writing to the chair of the Faculty Appellate Panel no later than five days from receipt of the president's letter.

a. The petition must be based on one or more of the following grounds (also described in "Grounds for Grievance" above): inadequate consideration of unit criteria, use of impermissible denial of academic freedom. The petition shall state the factual basis for the allegations and the relief requested. The committee shall use the following procedures in reviewing the petition:

- i. The chair shall notify the faculty member of the time and place of the review and inform the faculty member about the specific procedures governing the review. The review shall be closed and nonadversarial.
- The proceeding shall be recorded on tape, which shall be for the confidential use of the committee only.
- iii. For the review, the faculty member shall be permitted to choose as advisor either a faculty member or an academic administrator or privately retained counsel.
- iv. The panel will assist the faculty member in securing the attendance of those whose testimony may be of assistance to the committee in making its findings and recommendations. In addition to the summary provided to the faculty member by the dean of the

criteria, denial of procedural due process, or denial of academic freedom. The petition shall state the factual basis for the allegations and the relief requested. The committee shall use the following procedures in reviewing the petition:

- i. The chair shall notify the faculty member of the time and place of the review and inform the faculty member about the specific procedures governing the review. The review shall be closed and nonadversarial.
- ii. The proceeding shall be recorded on tape, which shall be for the confidential use of the committee only.
- iii. For the review, the faculty member shall be permitted to choose as advisor either a faculty member or an academic administrator or privately retained counsel.
- iv. The panel will assist the faculty member in securing the attendance of those whose testimony may be of assistance to the committee in making its findings and recommendations. In addition to the summary provided to the faculty member by the dean of the

college, the committee will provide the faculty member with a detailed summary of letters and evaluations included in the file. To retain confidentiality, the summary shall be prepared by the committee without attribution.

- v. The review is to be held as speedily as possible taking into account the necessity to maintain a quorum and availability of parties essential to the proceeding. If a review cannot be completed within 85 calendar days, the committee should notify the grievant of the schedule for completion of the review.
- b. If the Faculty Appellate Panel finds that there has been inadequate consideration of the unit criteria, the use of impermissible criteria, denial of procedural due process, or denial of academic freedom, the panel will remand the case to the faculty or administrative level at which the inadequacy or denial occurred, and the evaluation of the faculty member will begin anew at that point. The panel will send a statement of its findings and decisions, including the reasons for them, to the faculty member, to the unit or administrative officer involved. and to the president. If the new

college, the committee will provide the faculty member with a detailed summary of letters and evaluations included in the file. To retain confidentiality, the summary shall be prepared by the committee without attribution.

- v. The review is to be held as speedily as possible taking into account the necessity to maintain a quorum and availability of parties essential to the proceeding. If a review cannot be completed within 85 calendar days, the committee should notify the grievant of the schedule for completion of the review.
- b. If the Faculty Appellate Panel finds that there has been inadequate consideration of the unit criteria, the use of impermissible criteria, denial of procedural due process, or denial of academic freedom, the panel will remand the case to the faculty or administrative level at which the inadequacy or denial occurred, and the evaluation of the faculty member will begin anew at that point. The panel will send a statement of its findings and decisions, including the reasons for them, to the faculty member, to the unit or administrative officer involved, and to the president. If the new consideration still results

consideration still results in denial of tenure or promotion, the level to which the case was remanded will state the reasons in writing to the faculty member and to the panel.

- If the panel finds that the faculty c. member has cause for grievance but concludes that a new consideration of the case would not be worthwhile, it will recommend to the president an equitable resolution of the case and provide the faculty member and the unit involved a statement of its findings and recommendations and the reasons for them. The panel, however, will not substitute its judgment for the qualitative professional judgments of the faculty in determining whether the relevant unit criteria have been adequately met. Thus, disagreement with such faculty judgment is not sufficient basis for the panel to recommend modification of the decision. The panel will be limited to considering whether there is a factual basis in the record, taken as a whole, upon which an individual acting in good faith could rationally reach the result being grieved.
- d. If the panel recommends that the president modify or reverse a decision that is unfavorable to the faculty member, the president may implement the recommendation. If the president rejects the recommendation, the

in non-reappointment or the denial of promotion or tenure, the level to which the case was remanded will state the reasons in writing to the faculty member and to the panel.

- If the panel finds that the faculty c. member has cause for grievance but concludes that a new consideration of the case would not be worthwhile, it will recommend to the president an equitable resolution of the case and provide the faculty member and the unit involved a statement of its findings and recommendations and the reasons for them. The panel, however, will not substitute its judgment for the qualitative professional judgments of the faculty in determining whether the relevant unit criteria have been adequately met. Thus, disagreement with such faculty judgment is not sufficient basis for the panel to recommend modification of the decision. The panel will be limited to considering whether there is a factual basis in the record, taken as a whole, upon which an individual acting in good faith could rationally reach the result being grieved.
- d. If the panel recommends that the president modify or reverse a decision that is unfavorable to the faculty member, the president may implement the recommendation. If the president rejects the recommendation, the

The president shall be the final university	The president shall be the final university
authority to whom a grievance may be	authority to whom a grievance may be
submitted.	submitted.
TERMINATION OF FACULTY FOR CAUSE	2. TERMINATION OF FACULTY FOR
reasons for the rejection shall be	reasons for the rejection shall be
stated in writing to the faculty	stated in writing to the faculty
member and to the panel. The	member and to the panel. The
president shall act on the panel's	president shall act on the panel's
recommendation within fifteen	recommendation within fifteen
days.	days.

PART 2: RELATED FACULTY MANUAL ALIGNMENTS	
2.a. This change incorporates the notice of non-reappointment requirements from the newly added Professional-Track Reappointment section on page 44 into the Appointment and Termination of Professional-Track Faculty section.	
Current Text (page 20)	Proposed Text
APPOINTMENT AND TERMINATION OF PROFESSIONAL-TRACK FACULTY	APPOINTMENT AND TERMINATION OF PROFESSIONAL-TRACK FACULTY
Appointments of professional-track faculty shall be in writing and shall specify the beginning and ending date of appointment. Appointments shall terminate on the date specified and no further notice of non- reappointment is required. If a professional-track faculty member is appointed without a specified ending date, notice of non-reappointment shall be given in writing to the faculty member at least twelve months prior to the termination date.	Appointments of professional-track faculty shall be in writing and shall specify the beginning and ending date of appointment. Notice of non-reappointment is required in writing from the dean of the college to whom the faculty member reports. In the initial one-year terms of any professional- track faculty appointment, notice of non- reappointment is recommended but not required. For those professional-track faculty with three to five-year contracts, the faculty member must be given notice of non-reappointment at least 180 days before the end of the contract. If a professional-track faculty member is appointed without a specified ending date,

in writing to the	eappointment shall be given faculty member at least prior to the termination
-------------------	--

2.b. There are two key aspects to the revisions to this subsection of the "Probationary Period for Tenure" section. First, the elimination of the "Grounds for Grievance of Non-Reappointment" section aligns with Part 1's extension of the full four grounds of grievance to tenure-track faculty still in their probationary period and seeking reappointment. Second, added language to the "Grounds for Grievance of Non-Reappointment" and "Denial for Tenure Decisions Concerning Probationary Faculty" offer referrals to the "Academic Grievance Procedures" section.

Current Text (page 23)	Proposed Text
Under [Tenure-Track Faculty]	Under [Tenure-Track Faculty]
PROBATIONARY PERIOD FOR TENURE	PROBATIONARY PERIOD FOR TENURE
subsection "REAPPOINTMENT DURING	subsection "REAPPOINTMENT DURING
PROBATIONARY PERIOD"	PROBATIONARY PERIOD"
Based upon the candidate's file, including	Based upon the candidate's file, including
the recommendations received from the	the recommendations received from the
tenured faculty of the unit and from the	tenured faculty of the unit and from the
department chair in departmentalized	department chair in departmentalized
colleges, the dean shall determine whether	colleges, the dean shall determine whether
the faculty member is making sufficient	the faculty member is making sufficient
progress toward tenure so as to be	progress toward tenure so as to be
reappointed. If the dean agrees with the	reappointed. If the dean agrees with the
recommendation of the tenured faculty,	recommendation of the tenured faculty,
the dean's decision shall be final. The dean	the dean's decision shall be final. The dean
shall notify the provost of the decision to	shall notify the provost of the decision to
reappoint or not reappoint. If the dean	reappoint or not reappoint. If the dean
disagrees with the recommendation of the	disagrees with the recommendation of the
tenured faculty, then the recommendation	tenured faculty, then the recommendation
of the dean shall be added to the	of the dean shall be added to the
recommendation of the faculty, as well as	recommendation of the faculty, as well as
that of the department chair in	that of the department chair in
departmentalized colleges, and shall be	departmentalized colleges, and shall be
forwarded with the candidate's file to the	forwarded with the candidate's file to the
provost, who shall review the file and all	provost, who shall review the file and all
recommendations and make the final	recommendations and make the final
decision on reappointment.	decision on reappointment.
GRIEVANCE UPON NON-REAPPOINTMENT	Grounds and procedures for grievance for
	non-reappointment during the

Non-reappointment during the	probationary period are stated in
probationary period is different from a	"Academic Grievance Procedures."
decision of non- reappointment in	
conjunction with a denial of tenure in the	
penultimate year of the maximum	
probationary period and as such	
constitutes grounds for a grievance only	
under the limited grounds stated in the	
Academic Grievance Procedures.	
DEADLINE FOR TENURE DECISIONS	DEADLINE FOR TENURE DECISIONS
CONCERNING PROBATIONARY FACULTY	CONCERNING PROBATIONARY FACULTY
Before the end of the probationary period,	Before the end of the probationary period,
a decision will be made to grant or deny	a decision will be made to grant or deny
tenure. If the decision is to deny tenure,	tenure. If the decision is to deny tenure,
notice will be given by letter dated and	notice will be given by letter dated and
postmarked before the end of the	postmarked before the end of the
penultimate year of the maximum	penultimate year of the maximum
probationary period. For faculty with a	probationary period. For faculty with a
tenure start date of August 16, the	tenure start date of August 16, the
penultimate year ends on May 15. For	penultimate year ends on May 15. For
faculty with a tenure start dates of January	faculty with a tenure start dates of January
1, the penultimate year ends on December	1, the penultimate year ends on December
31. If notice is not given in the time and	31. If notice is not given in the time and
manner stated above, the appointment of	manner stated above, the appointment of
the faculty member will thereafter be a	the faculty member will thereafter be a
continuous (or tenured) appointment.	continuous (or tenured) appointment.
Non-reappointment in conjunction with	Grounds and procedures for grievance for
denial of tenure in the penultimate year	non-reappointment in conjunction with
may be grounds for a grievance under the	denial of tenure in the penultimate year are
full provisions of the Academic Grievance	stated in "Academic Grievance
Procedures.	Procedures."
2.c. This revision adds a referral to the "Acad	emic Grievance Procedures" section.
Current Text (page 32)	Proposed Text
Under [Tenure-Track Faculty] REVIEW OF	Under [Tenure-Track Faculty] REVIEW OF
TENURE AND PROMOTION FILES AFTER	TENURE AND PROMOTION FILES AFTER
UNIT VOTE subsection "Consideration by	UNIT VOTE subsection "Consideration by
UCTP"	UCTP"

Final decisions regarding the award or
denial of tenure or promotion shall be communicated to the candidate in writing.
Upon receiving notice of the denial of
tenure or promotion, the faculty member
may seek relief by taking the relevant steps
outlined in "Academic Grievance
Procedures."

2.d. The first revision here adds a clarification that notice of non-reappointment in the initial one-year terms of any professional-track faculty should be given before the end of the contract, though as the current manual language states, this is a recommendation rather than a requirement. The second revision adds a referral to the "Academic Grievance Procedures" section.

Current Text (page 44)	Proposed Text
Under [Professional-Track Faculty]	Under [Professional-Track Faculty]
REAPPOINTMENT	REAPPOINTMENT
Final reappointment decisions are	Final reappointment decisions are
administrative, but a recommendation of	administrative, but a recommendation of
the unit faculty must be included in the	the unit faculty must be included in the
reappointment process. The faculty of	reappointment process. The faculty of
each academic unit must determine its	each academic unit must determine its
criteria and procedures for assessing and	criteria and procedures for assessing and
recommending candidates for	recommending candidates for
reappointment. Notice of non-	reappointment. Notice of non-
reappointment is required in writing from	reappointment is required in writing from
the Dean of the college to whom the faculty	the <mark>d</mark> ean of the college to whom the faculty
member reports. In the initial one-year	member reports. In the initial one-year
terms of any professional-track faculty	terms of any professional-track faculty
appointment, notice of non-	appointment, notice of non-
reappointment is recommended but not	reappointment before the end of the contract
required. For those professional-track	is recommended but not required. For
faculty with three to five-year contracts, the	those professional-track faculty with three
faculty member must be given notice of	to five-year contracts, the faculty member
non-reappointment at least 180 days	must be given notice of non-reappointment
before the end of the contract. See <u>ACAF</u>	at least 180 days before the end of the
<u>1.16 Professional-track Faculty</u> for further	contract. See <u>ACAF 1.16 Professional-track</u>
detail.	Faculty for further detail. Upon receiving
	notice of non-reappointment, the faculty
	member may seek relief by taking the
	relevant steps outlined in "Academic
	Grievance Procedures."

2.e. The revisions here are twofold. First, the current grievance-related language is replaced with a referral to the "Academic Grievance Procedures" section. Second, this language is relocated to the appropriately retitled "Review by Dean and Provost" subsection since grievance would come after the Dean and Provost's review.	
Current Text (page 49)	Proposed Text
Under [Professional-Track Faculty] PROMOTION FILES – CREATION, REVIEW, AND VOTING PROCEDURES	Under [Professional-Track Faculty] Promotion Files – Creation, Review, and Voting Procedures
Negative Recommendations at the Unit Level. Upon written request of a candidate dissatisfied with any negative decision on promotion by the unit, the unit shall send that candidate's file through all appropriate channels for endorsement to the provost for appropriate action. Failure to recommend a candidate favorably for promotion is without prejudice with respect to future consideration. Unit criteria should state procedures for recourse for any candidate dissatisfied with any negative decision. After the decision by the provost, candidates dissatisfied with the decision regarding promotion may submit an appeal to the University Faculty Appellate Panel.	Negative Recommendations at the Unit Level. Upon written request of a candidate dissatisfied with any negative decision on promotion by the unit, the unit shall send that candidate's file through all appropriate channels for endorsement to the provost for appropriate action. Failure to recommend a candidate favorably for promotion is without prejudice with respect to future consideration. Unit criteria should state procedures for recourse for any candidate dissatisfied with any negative decision.
Review by Provost. Promotion is recommended by the dean and approved by the Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost. The provost's approval is final.	Review by Dean and Provost. Promotion is recommended by the dean and approved by the Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost. The provost's approval is final. Upon receiving notice of the denial of promotion, the faculty member may seek
2.f. The revision clarifies that "days" referred to in the procedures section under	
"Termination of Faculty for Cause" are business days.	

Current Text (page 54)	Proposed Text
Under TERMINATION OF FACULTY FOR	Under 2. TERMINATION OF FACULTY FOR
CAUSE	CAUSE
PROCEDURES	PROCEDURES
These procedures apply to termination of causes 1-5 above; termination due to bona fide reduction in staff follows separate procedures described below. In these procedures, use of "days" refers to business days.	These procedures apply to termination of causes 1-5 above; termination due to bona fide reduction in staff follows separate procedures described below. All days referred to in these procedures are business days; however, when the last day of such a period falls on a weekend or university holiday, the effective date shall be the next regular business day. The first day in the period shall be the day after the actual day of notification.